IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EARLY TERMINATION OF SUPERVISED RELEASE

v.

JUAN C. GONZALES,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:13-CR-472 TS

District Judge Ted Stewart

This matter is before the Court on Defendant's Motion for Early Termination of Supervised Release. For the reasons discussed below, the Court will grant the Motion.

I. BACKGROUND

On July 8, 2013, Defendant was charged with possession of methamphetamine with intent to distribute and possession of heroin with intent to distribute. On November 18, 2013, Defendant pleaded guilty to possession of heroin with intent to distribute. On June 3, 2014, Defendant was sentenced to a term of 36 months in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, to be followed by 36 months of supervised release.

Defendant began his term of supervision on February 2, 2016. In his Motion, Defendant represents that he has complied with the terms of his supervised release. Defendant states that he has maintained gainful employment and has not violated the terms of his supervision. Defendant seeks termination of his supervision to allow him to travel to Puerto Rico to assist his family. Consultation with Defendant's supervising officer confirms that Defendant has complied with

the terms of supervision. The government has indicated it has no objection to Defendant's request.

II. DISCUSSION

18 U.S.C. § 3583(e) permits the Court to terminate supervised release at any time after a

defendant has completed at least one year of supervised release, but prior to completion of the

entire term, if the Court is satisfied that such action is (1) warranted by the conduct of an

offender and (2) is in the interest of justice. In making this determination, the Court is directed

to consider the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent they are applicable.

Having considered these factors, reviewed the docket and case file, and consulted with

Defendant's supervising officer, the Court finds that early termination of Defendant's term of

supervised release is both warranted by the conduct of the offender and in the interest of justice.

III. CONCLUSION

It is therefore

ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Early Termination of Supervised Release

(Docket No. 87) is GRANTED. It is further

ORDERED that Defendant's term of supervised release shall be terminated effective

immediately and this case shall be closed.

DATED this 9th day of November, 2017.

BY THE COURT:

Yed Stewart

United States District Judge